

Racial/Ethnic Disparities in Environmental Chemical Exposures and Women's Reproductive Health

Tamarra James-Todd, PhD, MPH

Mark and Catherine Winkler Assistant Professor of Environmental Reproductive & Perinatal Epidemiology Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health

November 18, 2020



ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE Is reproductive justice.

Overview

Definitions

- Framework for environmental reproductive justice research
- Environmental chemical and reproductive disparities
- Epidemiologic example
- Next steps



Key Definitions

Race: physical differences that groups and cultures consider socially significant (Am. Soc. Assoc.)

Ethnicity: shared culture, such as language, ancestry, practices, and beliefs (Am. Soc. Assoc.)

Health disparities: a particular type of health difference that is closely linked with social, economic, and/or environmental disadvantage (Healthy People 2020)

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN



WWW.SCIAM.COM

Science Has the Answer: RACE Genetic Results May Surprise

You

The Day the Earth Burned

Reasons to **Return to the Moon** EXIST?

Key Definitions

- Environmental Justice: is the <u>fair treatment</u> and <u>meaningful</u> <u>involvement</u> of all people regardless of <u>race, color, national</u> <u>origin, or income</u> with respect to the development, implementation and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations and policies. (US EPA)
- Environmental Racism: Whether, by conscious design or institutional <u>neglect</u>, actions and decisions that <u>result in</u> the <u>disproportionate exposure of people of color to environmental</u> <u>hazards</u> and environmental health burdens. (Columbia Univ.)
- Reproductive Justice: the <u>human right</u> to <u>control</u> our sexuality, our gender, our work, and <u>our reproduction</u> (In Our Own Voice: Black Women's Reproductive Justice Agenda)





Determinants of Health



Environment



Genetics



Social conditions and policies



Geography





Unequal Exposures and Health Disparities Across the Life Course Vulnerable group of adverse conditions/diseases **Increasing health disparity** Reference group Incidence **Risk factors** (e.g. environmental EDCs) **Protective factors** Birth Reproductive Menopause Puberty Pregnancy >



James-Todd et al, Curr Env Hlth Rep2016

Critical Questions in **Environmental Health Disparities**

What: What are the environmental factors or chemicals? What are the conditions that are disparate?

Who: Who is at risk of high exposure? Who has a high disease burden?

Where: Place or geographic region of greatest risk?

When: When are there critical or sensitive periods?

How: What are the mechanisms? Genetic? Epigenetic? Hormonal? Social or behavioral?















Key (Forgotten) Questions in Environmental Health Disparities

Why: Why do some populations have a higher exposure? Higher disease burden? Is there a connection?
So what: Can we do something about it?

Asking 'why' matters:

Relevance and trust-building in understudied, high exposure/risk populations

Key to developing sustainable and wellthought interventions

Policy and social change to improve health

Examples:
Structural Racism
Stress
Access/ availability
Housing/energy injustice
Beauty injustice

Modifiable!





Translational Epidemiologic Approach to Health Disparities



D Environmental exposures



E Health outcomes

(>

Phthalates
Phenols
Flame
retardants
Metals

 Pregnancy complications
 Diabetes
 Gynecologic health
 Cardiovascular disease

Bellavia et al, Environ Epidemiol, 2018

Examples of Disparities in **Environmental Chemical Exposures**



NHANES 2001-2008



Phthalates

Examples:



Phthalate metabolites

James-Todd et al, Environ Health, 2014

Examples of Disparities in **Environmental Chemical Exposures**





Figure 2. LSGM urinary concentrations by age and race/ethnicity: (A) MP; (B) PP. Error bars indicate 95% CIs.



Calafat et al, EHP, 2010

EDCs and Women's Health



Infertility/subfertility





Preterm birth Pregnancy hyperglycemia



Endometriosis and Fibroids



Adipogenesis and Obesity

EDCs and Pregnancy Health

Once pregnant, ~25% of pregnancies in the United States have one of these 4 complications

Higher phthalate exposure associated with: -~20% decrease in antral follicle count -~3-fold increased risk of pregnancy loss Infertility

Higher phthalate exposure associated with: -2-fold increased odds of preterm birth -lower birth weight Preterm birth and SGA

Higher phthalate and BPA exposure associated with: -50% to 2-fold increased risk of preeclampsia

Preeclampsia

gain

Higher phthalate and BPA exposure associated with: -~10-12 mg/dL higher glucose -Excessive gestational weight

-60% increased risk of GDM Gestational diabetes

EDCs and Women's Health

Black women are 2x as likely to experience infertility



Infertility/subfertility



Preterm birth

Hispanic and black girls were more likely to reach menarche earlier



Early puberty



Thyroid

Glucose Intolerance

Native American women ~7x higher GDM Asian and Hispanic women are 2-3x more likely to have GDM

Pregnancy hyperglycemia Black women are 50% more likely to experience a preterm birth



Endometriosis and

Fibroids Fibroids are 2-3x higher in black women



Adipogenesis a Obesity

Hispanic and black women ~50% more likely to have prepregnancy obesity



Epidemiologic Example of Environmental **Reproductive Health** Disparities

Hair product use, and racial differences in preterm birth



endocrine disrupting chemicals,

Greater New York Hair Products Study (GNYHPS)

- Recruited 359 women between 2004 and 2006
- Self-identified black, African Caribbean, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic White women
- Research Goals:
 - Hair product usage patterns
 - Determine contents of hair products based on lab analysis and label information





Examples of Hair Product Types: GNYHPS



Lotion



Root Stimulator



Perm/Relaxer



Other products





Oil



Leave-in conditioner

Association between Race/Ethnicity and Hair Product Use: GNYHPS





James-Todd, J Immigr Minor Health. 2012

Race/Ethnicity & Hair Products Ingredients Label Content GNYHPS





% using product

James-Todd et al, J Immigr Minor Health. 2012

EDC content of commonly used hair products from GNYHPS

| Chemical Group | Health Effects | |
|-----------------------|---|--|
| Alkyphenols | Endocrine disruption, developmental/ reproductive disruption in animals | Hair relaxer, ro hot oil |
| Cyclosiloxanes | Endocrine disruption, potential Anti-frizz carcinogen Anti-frizz | |
| Ethanolamines | Exacerbate asthma, potential Hair relaxe carcinogen | |
| Fragrances | Exacerbate asthma, endocrine Root e anti-fr | |
| Parabens | Endocrine disruption | Hair lotion, hair leave-in conditi |
| Phthalates | Exacerbate asthma, endocrine disruption, disrupt male reproductive development/fertility, potential carcinogen | |
| UV Filters | Endocrine disruption, developmental/ reproductive disruption in animals potential carcinogen | Anti-frizz, root s relaxer, leave-i |



Products

- ot stimulator, hair lotion,
- e-in conditioner, hair imulator, hot oil
- ave-in conditioner
- r, hair relaxer, hair lotion, e-in conditioner, hot oil
- r relaxer, root stimulator, ioner, hot oil
- ot stimulator, hair 2, hot oil
- stimulator, hair lotion, hair in conditioner, hot oil

Helm et al, Environ Hlth 2018

Hair products and hormonal activity from products commonly used in GNYHPS



Estrogen and

progesterone receptors





→Androgen,
 progesterone, and
 glucocorticoid
 receptor





→Estrogen and glucocorticoid receptors

→Estrogen and androgen receptors

James-Todd et al, under review 2020

Association between Hair Product Use and Preterm Birth:

Table Difference in Mean Gestational Age at Delivery Associated with Frequency of Hair Oil Use During Pregnancy (n=102)

| | | Days | | |
|---|------------------|---|--|--|
| Model | Frequency | Beta (95% CI) | | |
| Visit 1 | Daily < Daily | -5.75 (-13.51, 2.00) -0.57 (-6.88, 5.75) | | |
| Daily use of hair oils in late pregnancy led to | | | | |
| 10 day earlier delivery | | | | |
| | Never (ref) | 0 (ref) | | |
| Visit 3 | Daily | -1.66 (-8.72 <i>,</i> 5.39) | | |
| | < Daily | -0.69 (-7.93 <i>,</i> 6.55) | | |
| | Never (ref) | 0 (ref) | | |
| Visit 4 | Daily | -10.23 (-18.49 <i>,</i> -1.98) | | |
| | < Daily | 0.32 (-5.1, 5.73) | | |

Adjusted for maternal age (years)





ERGÔ

Preston and Fruh et al, in prep 2020

£

Examples of ongoing work at the intersection of EJ & RJ

- Cosmetic use and phthalate metabolite concentrations in Mexican American girls/adolescents
- Feminine hygiene products, phthalates and fibroids
- Personal care product chemicals and preterm birth in Puerto Rican women
- Acculturation, phthalates, and gestational diabetes in Asian women
- Environmental exposures and pregnancy and postpartum health in Black mothers





Recommendations for Future Work on Environmental Reproductive Justice



- Study more diverse popul populations
- Examine individual and contextual determinants of EDC exposure—measure social, cultural, and policy-based determinants—involves multiple disciplines
- Describing associations through stratified analysis is <u>not</u> <u>sufficient</u>—evaluate sources of exposure, conduct mediation or mixed methods, & multi-level modeling analytic techniques
- Assess social x environmental toxin interactions
- Investigate understudied EDCs that may be more prevalent in underrepresented populations
- Evaluate less-studied, disparate reproductive outcomes

Study more diverse populations—including Asian and other



(e.g. environmental EDCs)

James-Todd et al, Curr Env Hlth Rep2016

Contribution of unequal environmental exposures to increasing risk of adverse women's health disparities



Acknowledgements



Greater New York Hair Products Study:

Ruby Senie, PhD; Mary Beth Terry, PhD; Janet Rich-Edwards, ScD; Taroya Sargent-Kirk, MS, EdD; Andrea Deierlein, PhD

NHANES Study:

Tianyi Huang, ScD; Richard Stahlhut, MD; Russ Hauser, MD, PhD; Sheena-Gail Powell, BS; Janet Rich-Edwards, ScD

Silent Spring Team:

Jessica Helm, PhD; Robin Dodson, ScD; Ruthann Rudel, MS; Julia Brody, PhD

ERGO/LIFECODES Study Team:

Michele Hacker, ScD, Blair Wylie, MD; Thomas McElrath, MD, PhD; Ellen Seely, MD; Florence Brown, MD; Russ Hauser, MD, ScD; Paige Williams, PhD; Andrea Bellavia, PhD; David Cantonwine, PhD; Camille Powe, MD; Autumn Hoyt, BS; Marlee Quinn, BA; Zifan Wang, MS; Emma Preston, PhD; Antonia Calafat, PhD; Xiaoyun (Sherry) Ye, MS

EARTH Study Team:

Russ Hauser, MD, ScD; Yu-Han Chiu, MD, MPH; Carmen Messerlian, PhD; Lidia Mínguez-Alarcón; Jennifer Ford, RN; Myra Keller, RN; John Petrozza, MD; Paige Williams, PhD, MS; Antonia Calafat, PhD; Xiaoyun (Sherry) Ye, MS

Review article and conceptual model:

Andrea Bellavia, PhD; Yu-Han Chiu, MD, ScD; Linda Valeri, PhD; Ami Zota, ScD

Funding:

NIH R01ES026166, R01ES022955, R01ES009718, R01ES000002, K12HD051959, American Diabetes Association Minority Postdoctoral Fellowship, March of Dimes, Jean Sindab African-American Women's Breast Cancer Project







Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

Questions?

Contact: <u>tjtodd@hsph.harvard.edu</u>

For more information, visit us at: <u>https://projects.iq.harvard.edu/james-toddlab</u>

